

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER-FOR_PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/765,772	01/19/2001	Rodney M. Goodman	06618/581001/CIT-3154	9796	
20985 75	590 08/13/2003				
FISH & RICHARDSON, PC			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
4350 LA JOLL. SUITE 500	A VILLAGE DRIVE		CHANG, JON	CARLTON	
SAN DIEGO, O	SAN DIEGO, CA 92122		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2623	A:	
			DATE MAILED: 08/13/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_		(7)
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/765,772	GOODMAN ET AL.
7.dv.100.y 7.0do	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jon Chang	2623
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 05 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applic 1) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply to a character the places the application in
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office of the control of the con	s Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth e later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF T the date on which the petition under 37 Cit of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply	ng date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP FR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ount of the fee. The appropriate extension or originally set in the final Office action; or
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 	's Brief must be filed within the p	
2. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered l		in appear.
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require furth		(see NOTE below):
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note		(3cc No 12 below),
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	•	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.
NOTE: The proposed amendment to claim 15	would require further consideration a	and/or search
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s): rejection of claim 9 unde	er 35 USC 103(a).
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>9 and 19</u> we canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ould be allowable if submitted in a	a separate, timely filed amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:	or reconsideration has been cons	idered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims v		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to: 19 and 20.		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3,5-18 and 21-23</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a)□ approved or b)□ disapp	proved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		
		Jon Chang Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2623

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01) Continuation of 10. Other: The amendment to claim 3 would be entered if filed separately. With regard to the rejection under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, Applicants' arguments have been fully considered, but are not persuasive. Applicants allege that the description on page 22, lines 8-10 of the specification, referring to separating the information using relevance weighting, into higher entropy and lower entropy, supports the claimed, "higher profile features which have higher weighting parts during recognition" and "lower profile features which have lower weighted parts during recognition." The Examiner does not agree. The language cited in the specification does not appear to relate to the claim language at issue.